

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/703,386	11/06/2003	L. Michael Maritzen	080398.P410D	1006	
75	7590 05/18/2005			EXAMINER	
Marina Portnova			CHILCOT, R	CHILCOT, RICHARD E	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP					
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			3627		
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050512				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Attachment(s)						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Application Papers						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7, 8, 14-16 23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
4) Claim(s) 7,8,14-16,23 and 24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Disposition of Claims						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Ja</u>	nuarv 2005.					
Status						
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
Period for Reply						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
	Richard E. Chilcot, Jr.	3627				
Office Action Summary	10/703,386 Examiner	MARITZEN ET AL.  Art Unit				
i	Application No.	Applicant(s)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 7, 8, 14-16, 23 and 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by either Fano or Treyz et al.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 16, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's argument that neither Fano nor Treyz teach enabling a transaction device to locate a kiosk that provides the desired function when accessed through the transaction device, the examiner is of another opinion. Both Fano and Treyz teaches the transaction devices (wireless PDAs) locate the store (applicant's kiosk) from a purchases is to made (applicant's desired function).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard E. Chilcot, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6777. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 1st Friday off.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard E. Chilcot, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627